

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,207	11/01/2001	Jose Fernandez	6021.026-CONT.	6367
25546 7	590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER	
DREIER & BARITZ LLP 499 PARK AVENUE			PRIDDY, MICHAEL B	
20TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10022		3732	6
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	, う

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/004,207	FERNANDEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael B Priddy	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum vitory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) (i), by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
, ,	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	withdrawn from consideration 35,41-43,45-50 and 52-63 is/a and 51 is/are objected to.	re rejected.				
Application Papers		•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:						
Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11). The oath or declaration is objected to	he correction is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
,	by the Examinor. Note the dis-					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do some * copies of the priority do some * copies of the priority do some * copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received ocuments have been received f the priority documents have to all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National Stage	· •			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	D	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date	C) [] N-41	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/004,207

Art Unit: 3732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-4, 6, 10-12, 15-17, 21-28, 33-35, 41-43, 45-50 and 52-63 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4, 6, 10-17, 21-28, 30, 31, 33-35, 41-43, 45-50 and 52-63 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,319,286. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 7-9, 18-20, 29, 32, 36-40, 44 and 51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/004,207

Art Unit: 3732

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Priddy whose telephone number is (703) 308-8620. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8 a.m. - 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael B. Priddy Milhal B. Prithy February 5, 2004